

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/574,823	11/29/2006	Wilhelmus Petrus Johannes De Kruijf	3135-061099	3652
28289 THE WERR I	7590 10/28/200 AW FIRM, P.C.	8	EXAM	UNER
700 KOPPERS BUILDING			GROSZ, ALEXANDER	
436 SEVENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/574.823 DE KRUIJF, WILHELMUS PETRUS JOHANNES Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Alexander Grosz 3673 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

S	ta	tu	s

T CHOOL OF REPTY
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPT, 175(a). In no event, however, may a nept be timely filed - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expres SN (0) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. - Failure to nephy within the sol or oxended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become MARDNONED (38 U.S.C.§ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed pattern term adjustment, See 37 CPTR 1.70(b).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 July 2008. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) ☐ Claim(s) 16-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22.26.27 and 30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-21.23-25.28 and 29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) considered or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

10) The drawing(5) filed on israte. a) accepted of a) Objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have be 	en received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be	en received in Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Ru	ile 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cer	tified copies not received.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)	

Attachment(s)

Period for Reply

Application/Control Number: 10/574,823

Art Unit: 3673

Claims 22,26,27,30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 7/2/08.

Applicant's election with traverse of the species of group I in the reply filed on 7/2/08 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "....the species have multiple characteristic technical features in common...." This is not found persuasive because the species are patentably distinct, as the species are structurally and operationally different, with separate searches required for the separate species.

The species of group I is a portable, manually operated bracket type device with a head support, while the species of group II is a motorized, bed attached or attachable device that lacks a head support.

It is noted that in the device of species II, the non linear arm does NOT seem to "roll" along a supporting surface of the device.

If a generic claim will be allowed, claims drawn to the species of group II, if properly dependent on such a generic claim will be allowed.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Applicant is urged to review and amend the specification to avoid unidiomatic English. For example, "carer", as used throughout the specification, should be changed to –caregiver-.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/574,823

Art Unit: 3673

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claims 16-21,23-25,28,29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what exactly are the various elements of the claims.

From claim 1, lines 2, 3, 4 it is not clear what "engaging ON the person" means. From the last line of claim 1, it is not clear whether the word "roll" should be "rotate", or perhaps "roll and rotate".

In response to this office action, applicant is urged to submit a set of claims, perhaps as part of an "Appendix", in which elements of the claims are identified with numerals from the drawings. Such numerals will aid in better understanding the claims, and in avoiding mistakes, but in no way will the limit the scope of the claims which will be allowed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-21, 23 -25,28,29, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wells. Carr or Gant.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,823

Art Unit: 3673

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Grosz whose telephone number is 571-272-7041. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Engle can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alexander Grosz/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3673